#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 112 799

HE 006 848

AUTHOR TITLE Thrift, Julianne Still; Fidler, Paul P.

A Descriptive Study of Commuter Students at the University of South Carolina, Fall 1974. Research

Notes No. 25-75.

INSTITUTION

South Carolina Univ., Columbia.

REPORT NO PUB DATE

RN-25-75 11 Jul 75

NOTE

19p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage

**DESCRIPTORS** 

Campuses; \*Commuting Students; \*Higher Education; Questionnaires; Student Attitudes; \*Student College Relationship; \*Student Needs; \*Student Participation;

Student Responsibility: Students: Surveys

IDENTIFIERS

\*South Carolina

#### ABSTRACT

During the fall of 1974, a questionnaire was administered to a random sample of 700 off-campus students at the main campus of the University of South Carolina who were enrolled in hine or more semester hours of course work (approximately 8 percent of the population). The goal of the study was to describe off-campus students including their perceived needs so that their input could be considered in decision-making processes. The results of the questionnaires were analysed to examine the students views on the following issues: (1) the potential use of facilities on campus; (2) the desirability of a service and programming organization for commuters: (3) the relative importance of a variety of programs for commuters; (4) the degree of usage of various means of communication; (5) the amount of time spent on campus by commuters; and (6) the desirability of social functions planned for commuters. (Author/JMF)

SUBJECT: A Descriptive Study of Commuter Students at the University of South Carolina, Fall 1974

RESEARCH NOTES NO. 25-75

July 11, 1975

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

BOUCATION & WELFARE

NATIONALITY OF

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPHO

THE PERSON OR OFFAN TAT YOU FROM

ATING IT POINTS OF JIE A CH OFF ON

STATED DO NOT NECE! ASH OF YOU PROW

CENT OF FICH A NATIONAL IN SETLITE OF

EDUCATION POSITION IN POLITIE OF

Principal Researchers: Ms. Julianne Still Thrift
Ombudsman and Former Coordinator
for Off-Campus and Married
Students

Dr. Paul P. Fidler Assistant Vice President for Student Affairs

## Division of Student Affairs

Research Notes

July 11, 1975

SUBJECT: A Descriptive Study of Commuter Students at the University of South Carolina, Fall 1974

## Nature and Scope of Study

During the fall of 1974 a questionnaire (see Appendix A) was administered to a random sample of 700 off-campus students at the main campus of the University of South Carolina who were enrolled in nine or more semester hours of course work (approximately 8% of the population). The goal of the study was to describe off-campus students including their perceived needs so that their input could be considered in decision making processes.

The following is an analysis of the results of this questionnaire. The issues examined were the students' views on the following issues: (1) The potential use of facilities on campus, (2) The desirability of a service and programming organization for commuters, (3). The relative importance of a variety of programs for commuters, (4) The degree of usage of various means of communication, (5) The amount of time spent on campus by commuters, and (6) The desirability of social functions planned for commuters.

#### I. Facilities

At the time of this study, the University of South Carolina was in the beginning stages of a project to expand and remodel its Union Building. In order to have information concerning the degree of desirability of potential facilities, the following question was asked: "Would you use the following facilities if they were available on campus?" No significant differences were found between male and female responses.

TABLE 1

Percentages of students who would use various proposed facilities, by marital status

	MARRIED	SINGLE	OTHER	TOTAL
Lounges with free telephones for local calls	91.0	92.2	85.7	92.0
Lockers	35.8	38.3	2010	36.9
Day beds in lounges	11.8	38.2	20.0	26.3 ,
Mail boxes	28.8	40.7	20.0	35.6
Study lounges in libraries	77.6	90.1	66.7	84.7

#### Comments:

- 1. Students were more likely to use lounges with free telephones for local calls (92.0%) and study lounges in libraries (84.7%) than other proposed facilities.
- 2. In every case, single students were more likely than married students to respond they would use the proposed facilities.

TABLE 2

Percentage of students who would use various proposed facilities, by classification.

, ,	FRESHMAN	SOPHOMORE .	JUNIOR	SENIOR	GRADUATE	TOTAL
Lounges with free telephones for local calls	94.4	100.0	्र <sup>9</sup> 97.8 <sup>^</sup>	90.7	81.8	92.0
Lockers	45.2	48,3	. 38.5	20.0	33.3	36.9
Day beds in lounges	40.6	29;6	31.6	25.0	12.8	29.3
Mail boxes	59.4	, 33.3	32.5	38.1	20.8	35.6
Study lounges in libraries	93.8	90.3	81.4	85.7	76.4	84.7

#### Comments:

1. In every case, greater percentages of undergraduates than graduate students responded that they would use proposed facilities.

- 2. Seniors were less likely to report that they would use lounges with free telephones for local calls, lockers and day beds in lounges than other undergraduates.
- 3. The majority of freshmen reported that they would use mailboxes if available . on campus.

#### Organization for Commuters

A group of students within the USC Student Government Association has been investighting the feasibility of establishing a commuter student organization. They
have studied similar organizations at other universities, but have received little
substantial data on the level of interest for such an organization among the students.

For this reason the following two questions were asked: "How important do you think
it is that a service and programming organization be designed specifically for offcampus students?" and "Would you be an active participant in such an organization?"

No distinguishing differences were found in male and female responses.

TABLE 3

Importance of a service and programming organization specifically for commuters, by marital status.

Ţv	•		MARRIED	, single	OTHER	TOTAL
Very important			29.5	45.0	42.9	. 38.8
Somewhat important		•	59.0	45.0	57.1	51.5
Not important	,	. •	11.5	10.0	0.0	, 9.7

Percentage of students who would actively participate in a service and programming organization specifically for commuters, by marital status.

MARRIED	SINGLE	OTHER	TOTAL
43.8	. 56.8	66.7	53.0

- 1. About 90% of all respondents reported that a service and programming organization would be somewhat or very important to them.
- 2. Even though similar percentages of single and married students responded that a service and programming organization would be somewhat or very important to them, single students were more likely to report that such an organization was very important to them.
- 3. A majority of all respondents reported that they would actively participate in such an organization.
- 4. A majority of single students (56.8%) reported that they would actively participate in such an organization compared to only 43.8% of married respondents.

TABLE 4

Importance of a service and programming organization specifically for commuters, by classification.

· 2	PRESHMAN	SOPHOMORE	JUNIOR	SENIOR	GRADUATE	TOTAL
Very important	51.4	32.4	40.0	51.2	25.9	38.8
Somewhat important	37.1	52.9	53.3	- 44.2	62.1	51.5
Not important	11.4	14.7	6.7	4.7	12.1	9.7

Percentage of students who would participate in a service and programming organization specifically for commuters, by classification.

FRESHMAN .	SOPHOMORE	JUNIOR .	SENIOR	GRADUATE	TOTAL
67.9	46.4	57.1	51.3	40.4	53.0

- 1. Juniors and seniors were somewhat more likely than other commuters to view a service and programming organization specifically for commuters as important.
  However, freshmen and seniors were more likely to report that such an organization was very important to them.
- 2. Freshmen were more likely than other students to respond that they would actively participate in such an organization.

## III. Services for Commuters

The Office for Off-Campus and Married Students in the Division of Student Affairs provides certain services for commuters. Because of limited personnel and financial resources it has become necessary to set priorities for services according to the importance attributed to them by students. For this reason the question was asked, "How important to you is it that these services be provided?"

TABLE 5

Degree of importance attributed to various University services for commuters, by sex.

		, **. **.	Very	or Somewhat	Important
	,		MĄLÉ	FEMALE	TOTAL
The provision of shuttle buses to to port students from outlying campus parking lots	rans-	, -	72.5	7,9.0	75.5
Encouragement and incentives to form carpools	•		65.3	72.8,	68.6
Current information about campus events be more readily available	, 1 <u>1</u>		90.1	94.0	91.9
Aid be given to students in their search for off-campus housing (for students not living with parents)			83.3	83.9	83.6
Educational, cultural, and social events planned specifically for commuters	•	,	58.5	64.6	- 61,3

- 1. Commuters reported that the most important service the University could provide would be current information about campus events. (Note: In 1972 efforts were made to provide commuters with better information by distributing GAMECOCK newspapers in the academic buildings and by erecting outdoor bulletin boards near commuter parking lots. Their efforts apparently did not solve the problem.)
- 2. Females were more likely than males to report each as important.

TABLE 6

Degree of importance attributed to various University services for commuters, by marital status.

	,	Very or Somewhat Important			
	, MARRIED	SINGLE .	OTHER :	TOTAL.	
The provision of shuttle buses	70.9	78.3	, , , , , , , ,	75.4	
to transport students from outlying campus parking lots	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, <b>:</b> ,,	
Encouragement and incentives to	63.3	72.9	71.5	68.4	
form carpools			، ان نیب	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Current information about campus events be more readily available	82.3	96.9	85.7	91.7	
Aid be given to students in their search for off-campus housing (for students not/living with parents)	79.5	87.6	.71.5	84.2	
Educational, cultural, and social	55.2	66.6	71.5	61.4	
events planned specifically for commuters		•	*	,	

## Comments:

1. Single students attributed greater importance to each service for commuters than did married students.

TABLE '

Degree of importance attributed to various University services for commuters, by classification.

	•		Very or So	omewhat Import	ant	
	FRESH.	SOPH.	JUNIOR	SENIOR	GRADUATE	TOTAL
The provision of	86.2	81.8	76.1	76.7 •	65.6	75.4
shuttle buses to	, 1	, ,			•	
transport students	•		•	,		•
from outlying		•		`_	•	•
campus parting lots	5 .	-			•	•
Encouragement and	61.1	67.6	69.6	76.7	70.2	68.4
rincentives to form		- 07.0	09.0	70.7,	, , , , ,	•
carpools			•		•	. •
	•		•	,	•	• .
Current informa-	<b>.</b> . 88. 9	100.0	91.3	90.7	× 89.6	91.7
tion about campus				•	"jen.	:
events be more				,		•
readily available		<b>.</b> '		•	z	
Aid be given to $1^{h}$	00 6	05.3	82.2.	: 79.1	86.2	84.2
students in their		, , , , ,	., 02.2.	, ,,,,,	`	,
search for off-camp				,	,	
housing (for stude	nts			• •	•	
not living with par			=			·
				`		
Educational, cul-	75.0	69.7	63.7	57.2	51.8	61.4
tural, and social				•	*	• ,
events planned	• ₹	. ,	The state of the s			
specifically for commuters			•	- <i>'</i>		•
COMMUTERS	<i>.</i>	• • •	2	7 '.	*	

#### Comments

- 1. Freshmen were more likely than other commuters to rank as important: 1) provision of shuttle buses to transport students from outlying campus parking lots;
  2) aiding students in their search for off-campus housing; and 3) planning educational, cultural, and social events for commuters:
- 2. Commuter's assessment of the importance of educational, cultural, and social events declined as they progressed through college.

## IV. Means of Distributing Information

The success of any project or service is dependent on the ability to inform the commuters of the services. For this reason commuters were asked, "How often do you use the following sources to become informed about campus events or services?"

Q

TABLE 8

Percentage of students using various methods of information distribution, by sex.

	MALE .	FEMALE	TOTAL
Departmental bulletin boards	91.5	90.8	91.2
THE GAMECOCK	91.7	91.6	91.7
Russell House bulletin boards	69.4	~ 54.6	62.8
Outdoor bulletin boards	64.2	58.4	61:5

#### Comments:

- 1. Greater percentages of commuters utilized departmental bulletin boards and the student newspaper as sources of information than Russell House or outdoor bulletin boards.
- 2. Males reported using all sources of information systems in somewhat greater percentages than females.

TABLE 9

Percentage of students using various methods of information distribution, by marital status.

·	1	MARRIED	SINGLE .	OTHER	TOTAL
Departmental bulletin boards	• • •	92.1	90.7	83.6	90.9
THE GAMECOCK		94.7	93.0	71.5	92.9
Russell House bulletin boards	•	57.2	70.5	14.3	63.8
Outdoor bulletin boards	•	55.5	68.3	28.6	61.9

#### Comments:

1. Greater percentages of single students than married students utilized Russell House and outdoor bulletin boards for information on campus events.



TABLE 10

Percentages of students using various methods of information distribution, by classification.

,	FRESHMEN .	SOPHOMORE	JUNIOR	SENIOR	GRADUATE	TOTAL
Departmental bulletin boards	91.2	91.2	88.6	93.0	94.8	92.0
THE GAMECOCK	79.4	97.0	90.9	95.2	93.1	91.5
Russell House bulletin boards	71.5	71.4	57.7	76.8	46.4	63.1
Outdoor bulletin boards	69.7	67.6	68.8	65.2	40.4	60.3

#### Comments:

- 1. Seniors and graduate students were more likely than other commuters to use departmental bulletin boards as a means of obtaining information.
- 2. Smaller percentages of freshmen read THE GAMECOCK than did other respondents.
- 3. Freshmen gained information from departmental bulletin boards in greater per-
- 4. Graduate students used outdoor bulletin boards, less than undergraduates.

## V. Time of Departure from Campus

The University Union and other programming organizations often plan activities in the late afternoon and at night. For this reason commuters were asked, "On the average what time do you normally leave campus?" There were no significant differences found in the responses that could be contributed to marital status or sex.

TABLE 11

Time of leaving campus, by classification.

-				, t	INDERG	RADUATE		GRADUATE -
Before noon			•			9.6		3.4
l p.m.						<b>421.0</b>	_	* 5.2 °
2 p.m.	•				,	17.2	•	8.6
3 p.m.		•			•	22.9		10.3
4 p.m.		•		, xo		15.3	•	19.0
after 4 p.m.	<b>3</b>	_ 7		·		14.0		. 53.4

#### Comments:

- 1. Over 90% of all commuters remain on campus after hoon.
- 2. Undergraduates leave campus much earlier than do graduate students.
- 3. Graduate students are <u>much</u> more likely than undergraduates to remain on campus after 4 p.m. This may be the result of the greater number of graduate classes available only at night.
- 4. Approximately half of all undergraduates leave campus before 2 p.m.

## VI. Interest in Social Functions for Commuters

Student Government Association planned to have a social function for commuters.

In order to help SGA anticipate the level of interest in such an affair and to provide data helpful in setting a time for the function, the following question was asked: "Would you attend a social function specifically for commuters if it were held at these times?"

TABLE 12

Percentage of students who would attend social functions, by sex.

	MALE	· FEMALE .	TOTAL
Percentage who would attend a social function during the day	65.5	64.2	65.0
Percentage who would return to campus at night for a social	58.3	51.6	56.5
function	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. }	



- 1. The majority of both sexes expressed interest in a social function for commuters.
- 2. Students reported that they would be more likely to attend a social function if it were held during the day than if they had to return at night for it.
- 3. Males were slightly more likely than females to respond that they would attend social functions at night.

#### TABLE 13

Percentage of students who would attend social functions, by marital status

	MARRIED	SINGLE	OTHER	TOTAL
Percentage who would attend a social function during the day	56.6	. 70 <sub>4</sub> 7	, -, 66 <b>.7</b>	65.0
Percentage who would return to campus at night for a social function	42.1	63.1	60.0	56.5

#### Comments:

1. Married students were less likely than single students to respond that they would attend a social function for commuters.

## . PABLE 14\*

Percentage of students who would attend social functions, by classification.

``	FRESHMEN	SOPHOMORE	JUNIOR	SENIOR	GRADUATE
Percentage who would	69.7	71.0	68,2	70.7	54.4
attend a social function		22	) }		
during the day	. :		, ·		
Percentage who would return	72.7	62-1	53.3	51.2	48.2
to campus at night for a	•		,		•
social function ;		,	•		·.



- 1. The majority of all undergraduates in each class reported that they would attend a social function during the day or at night.
- 2. Undergraduates were more likely to respond that they would attend social functions than would their graduate student conterparts.
- 3. Commuters were less likely to report they would attend social functions at night as their classification increased.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Of all proposed facilities, commuters were most likely to indicate that they would use lounges with free telephones for local calls and study lounges in libraries.

  Single students were more likely than married students and undergraduates were more likely than graduate students to indicate they would use the proposed facilities.
- zation would be somewhat or very important to them, single students attributed a greater degree of importance to the organization than did married students. A majority of all respondents reported that they would be active participants in such an organization. Freshmen were more likely to respond that they would participate in a service and programming organization than upperclassmen or graduate students.
- 3. Of the services listed, commuters reported that the most important service the ...

  University could provide for them would be current information about campus events.

  Single students attributed greater importance to all services listed than did married students.
- 4. Commuters were more likely to utilize departmental bulletin boards and the student newspaper as sources of information than Russell House or outdoor bulletin boards. Seniors and graduate students were more likely than other commuters to use departmental bulletin boards as a source of information, while smaller percentages of freshmen read THE GAMECOCK than did other respondents.
- 5. Even though over 90% of all commuters remain on campus after noon, approximately half of all undergraduates leave campus before 2:00 p.m.
- muters, married students were less likely than single students to respond that they would participate. Commuters were less likely to report they would attend social functions as their classification increased.



- 1. A special emphasis should be given to providing study lounges in libraries and lounges with free telephones for local calls in the Russell House. The allocation of such space is considered a priority item for commuter students.
- 2. The Student Government Association should study more seriously the establishment of a service and programming organization for commuters. If such an organization were established, wide-spread publication should be provided to inform commuters of its existance.
- 3. The Division of Students Affairs should study new ways of providing information to commuters about current campus events.
- 4. Over 90% of all students use THE GAMECOCK and departmental bulletin boards as a source of information. At the same time, they report that the most important service the University could provide for commuters would be current information about campus events. Because of these findings THE GAMECOCK staff should reexamine their methods and points of distribution. The Division of Student Affairs and the Student Government Association should investigate ways to disbribute information about current campus events within academic departments.
- 5. Freshmen should be informed of available sources of campus information during the orientation process. THE GAMECOCK staff should make some additional effort to inform freshmen commuters of its existance.
- 6. In planning programs, the University Union should keep in mind that over two thirds of all students are commuters and that half of all undergraduate commuters leave campus before 2:00 p.m.
- 7. Since the majority of all commuters express interest in social functions, such events should be viewed as viable programming possibilities.

# APPENDIX A COMMUTER STUDENT OPINIONNAIRE

Ď	ear U.S.C. Student:			Letad and ann	u cidered ()b (	doci si c
I	in order that the needs	of off-campus, students	can be identi	rsity of Sout	b Carolina l	has de-
III	naking processes, the St	ident Affairs Division	n or the univer	cont to a ra	ndom sample	of
V	vised the following opin	lonnaire. This instru	ment is being	selle co a ra	malete Wh	en von
C	commuter students and wi	II require only a lew	minutes or you	H cime to co	dareceed re	tiorn'
	nave completed the opinion	onnaire, please return	i it in the er	TOBER PETI-G	Julessed IC	
е	envelope.		,		, 	
_		* 1/				•
	36	ried Freshmar	Son	ior		· • • •
	Male Mari	·			*	,
_	Female Sin	-		luate		, ,,
	Oth	er Junior	Grad	, audice		
т	mmediately prior to enre	olling in USC, I have	lived in:		<b>→</b>	
_	South Caroli	•	• •	Another N	ation (	,•
	• ,		. =			
1	. Would you use the fol	llowing facilitíes if	they were avai	ilable on cam	pus?	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.^		YES NO	`	•
	Lounges with free te	lephones for local cal	L1s			
	Lockers (currently ex	xisting) '	•		•	
	Day beds in lounges	•			•	ė
_	Mail boxes (currently		,	<u>,</u>	,,	
•	Study lounges in lib	raries	,			
	Other (specify)		<del>, ,</del>		<del></del>	<del>,+</del>
_		think it is that a ser	cuicâ and proci	ramming organ	ization be	design
	<ol> <li>How important do you specifically for off</li> </ol>	-compute students?	.vice wid prog.	<b></b>		-
	Not important	Somewhat important	Very imp	ortańt '		
	Would you be an acti	ve participant in such			No	
	•		~			
3	., How important to you	is it that:	Not .	Somewhat	Very Important	, &
	•		Important	Important	Tubo'r cauc	
	The University aid y				,	-
	tation/parking proble					•
	shuttle buses from o	utlying campus	•		•	,
•	parking lots.	-				,
	The University should	d aid students		•	• *	
	in the formation of		·		·	
	Current information	shout compute orients				*
	be more readily avai				•	
	•		<del></del>			
		ents in their search	٥٠			•
	for off-campus housi		•		•	
	, not living with pare	nts).	<del></del>		<del></del>	•
	Educational, cultura	1, and social events	•	_		
	be planned specifica	1ly for commuters.				
	- ·	•		C		+0 0
4	How often do you use	the following sources	s to become in	rormed about	campus even	115200
	services? Place a c	heck in the column that	at most nearly	represents y	Our average	дзаде
		NEVER MON	THLY WEEKLY	TWICE A WEE	K DAILY	
	Departmental bulleti	n boards				
	THE GAMECOCK			<u> </u>		
	Russell House bullet	in boards				
	Outdoor bulletin boa					
			llu leave camo	1197	•	•
5	5. A), On the average w	hat time do you norma.	3 p,m.	4 p.m.	after 4 p.	m.
	before noon	T Pelle 2 Pelle	to campus af			-
)	B) On the average h	cw often do you return week once a week	r co cambras ar	month ' led	s than once	a mon
[C]	,	•				
ed by ERIC	. Would you attend a s	ocial function specif:	ically for com	muters (such	as a wine a	.nd
	- 1					

•	South Carolina	Another State	Another Nati	on .
1.	Would you use the following facil:	ities if they were	available on campus YES NO	<b>?</b>
· · · ·	Lounges with free telephones for Cockers (currently existing) Day beds in lounges	local calls		
	Mail boxes (currently existing) Study lounges in libraries Other (specify)			
2.	How important do you think it is the specifically for off-campus studen Not important Somewhat important Would you be an active participant	nts? ortant Very	important	tion be designed
3.	How important to you is it that:	Not Importan	Somewhat Vert Important Imp	ry (*) portant
	The University aid you in your tration/parking problems by provide shuttle buses from outlying campus parking lots.	ing · `\ .	ن چېپو ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
	The University should aid students in the formation of carpools.	3		······································
	Current information about campus of be more readily available.	events		
	Aid be given to students in their for off-campus housing (for studen not living with parents).			· 
	Educational, cultural, and social be planned specifically for commut		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.4.	How often do you use the following services? Place a check in the co			
	Departmental bulletin boards  THE GAMECOCK  Russell House bulletin boards Outdoor bulletin boards	ER MONTHLY WEEL	KLY TWICE A WEEK	DAILY .
5.	A) On the average what time do you before noon 1 p.m. 2  B) On the average how often do you more than once a week once	2 p.m. 3 p.m. ou return to campus	4 p.m. aft after 6:00 p.m.?	er 4 p.m. nan once a month
6.	Would you attend a social function cheese party) if it were held:  a) during the day while you were  b) at night and meant returning the	on campus?	YesNo	•
7.	Please use the back of this questi as commuters.	onnaire to let us	know of other concer	ns you ha <b>v</b> e

APPENDIX B

# \ Characteristics of Respondents

	1		
		Frequency	Percentage
Sex *	`.	•	M
	Male	122	54.7
•	Female	101	45.3
	. •	•	
Marital Status	•	<b>-</b> .	w-
	Married	79	36.2
	Single	132	60.6
	Other	7	3.2
			•
Classification		,	
<del></del>	Freshman	36	16.4
	Sophomore	35	16.0
į.	Junior	46	21.0
	Senior	. 43	19.6
	Graduate	47	√ 21.5
ı	Law	12	5.5
•			• '
Residency	•		,
	South Carolina	178	78.8
	Another State	45	. 19.9
	Another Nation	<b>' 2</b>	0.9
•	No Response	1	0.4
		•	
Total Samp	ole Size	<sup>'</sup> 231	100/0
			, . 1